

**Interpretation of Table:**  
**JAIL POPULATIONS: 1st Quarter '02 versus 1st Quarter '03**

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,757) and "total decrease" in ADP (-1,067). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,757 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 1,067 ADP. Subtracting 1,067 from 2,757 produces the overall increase of 1,690 between the first quarter of 2002 and the first quarter of 2003.
2. The Fresno ADP increase of 662 is 24.0% of the total increase of 2,757. Thirty-six jurisdictions had increases (down to Mono Sheriff's Department). When you get to Mono Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,757).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Humboldt Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 1,067 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Fresno Sheriff's Department to Sacramento Sheriff's Department) is 52.27%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 52% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Contra Costa Sheriff's Department, Scapular House, and Los Angeles Sheriff's Department) account for about 71.9% of the decreases. Please note that Scapular House will not be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey for 2003, which accounts for approximately 19% of the total decrease.